

## Dr. Kathryn Masten

I used to live in San Patricio County, but moved out of state because of all the oil & gas industries moving in.

1) The Railroad Commission needs to change its name to align with what it is/does; e.g., Oil & Gas Well Inspection Commission.

2) The RRC OIL needs to have a map interface that shows wells, pipelines, and other regulated infrastructure & operators in relation to geography.

3) The plan almost exclusively focuses on wells instead of pipelines. The word "pipeline" appears only 5 times in the plan. What is being done to ensure pipelines are safe? The plan needs to show what industries these pipelines connect to. It is those industries that are better known to the public, but how they get their oil (and other substances, like potentially hydrogen in the future) is also important.

4) Why are taxpayers footing the bill for plugging "the increase of orphaned wells" (p. 15)? Shouldn't this cost be borne by the companies?

5) I do not see any training events targeted for environmental groups and average citizens. How do these groups get notice of things like this Plan? I only heard about it the day comments were due.

6) The \$3.7 million in fines levied against 24 major violations comes up to \$154k per violation. This seems like a slap on the wrist.

This report should include more detail on the fines levied - naming the companies, their location, and the type, extent, and duration of the violations.